## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of: Schwab et al

Serial No.: 08/822,397 Group No.: 2611

Filed: March 20, 1997 Examiner: R. Brown

For: VIDEO INPUT SWITCHING AND SIGNAL PROCESSING APPARATUS

## APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Answer mailed July 20, 2009, Appellant hereby submits its Reply Brief.

The Examiner states that "Vogel teaches that the microcomputer 6 generates an address from the received classification codes, Col 4, lines 45-58 and applies it to the table to determine switching to the alternative source, which reads on the claimed 'pointer'. Therefore, since Vogel provides a classification code to the receiver, which the microprocessor 6 uses for control in a channel-change procedure, to change to a particular channel, the classification code in Vogel reads on the claimed 'pointer'."

Applicants respectfully disagree that there is no material difference. The classification code of Vogel does not carry the actual destination to switch to; it tells where to go to look up the information of where to switch to, in a separate step, which adds an additional element to the system. Without the additional look-up table, the system of Vogel cannot redirect to a new channel. To the extent that Vogel has elements of a 'pointer', it is only that it has a reference to where the destination information resides; it does not carry the destination information itself. In Vogel, it is the look-up table that holds the destination information and acts as the 'pointer'; it is not included in the classification code itself.

By:\_

Date: Sept. 21, 2009

John G. Posa

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 37,424 Gifford Krass, Sprinkle, Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.

PO Box/7021 Troy, MI 48007-7021

(734) 913-9300